

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,380 01/28/2004		Ashwin J. Mathew	03226.443001;SUN030034	4747	
32615 73	590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			KIM, PAUL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2161		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	oplication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/767,38	ю	MATHEW ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Paul Kim		2161			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by peply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become AB ANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 January 200	<b>4</b> .				
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
				ŠAN PRIMAR	M RIMELL Y EXAMINER		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No(s)/Mail Da		O <sub>-</sub> 152)		
. —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	eR/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/767,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Original Application filed on 28 January 2004.

2. Claims 1-31 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 12, 20, and 26 are independent.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
  - Group 1: Claims 1-19 relate to an automated method of updating data within a peer-to-peer enterprise information system; and
  - Group 2: Claims 20-31 relate to a computer controlled method and system for data processing.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,380 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June / 1/10/2006

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161

Technology Center 2100

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER